Effect of probiotic supplement on growing lambs of the lacon breed during the suckling period

Anatoliy TSVIHUN Olena KARATIEIEVA Liudmyla PONKO Viktor YAKOVCHUK Olena YULEVICH Piotr PONICHTERA

Abstract: Dairy lambs are susceptible to many pathogens that can affect their subsequent performance. The use of probiotics in the rearing of Lacon lambs during the suckling period has been identified as a means of maintaining intestinal microbial balance. Studies have shown that probiotics can be used as an alternative therapy that prevents the use of antibiotics and, thus, can reduce the emergence and spread of antibiotic- resistant bacteria as well as antibiotic residues in dairy products, meat and milk. In addition, it increases the growth rate of the animal and its stress resistance under various conditions. In this regard, we conducted a comprehensive assessment of the impact using the therapeutic and probiotic supplement Immunobacterin-D on the growth and development of Lacon dairy lambs and their clinical parameters during the suckling period. It was found that the use of the probiotic supplement Immunobacterin-D during the suckling period of Lacon dairy lamb provided an increase in average daily gains by 16.1%, an increase in the content of total protein by 15.8% and an increase in the level of globulin proteins by 35.4%, which indicates a high resistance of the lambs' organism. Thus, the use of the probiotic Immunobacterin-D in feeding lambs had a positive effect on their growth rate, development, improved their health and adaptive capacity, as they showed greater resistance to elevated ambient temperatures compared to those that did not receive the supplement. The use of the probiotic increases the stress resistance of lambs, which can be used as one of the effective approaches in the breeding system of Lacon sheep.

Keywords: Breeding system, Gastrointestinal microbiota, Intestinal microbial balance, Sheep, Stress resistance.

Probiotics are increasingly being used worldwide to improve animal welfare, health and productivity. The probiotics market has been growing steadily for several years and is expected to reach \$7.3 billion, growing at a CAGR of 8.8% between 2020 and 2030. Europe is one of the most important regions in the probiotics market for animal feed with a market share of 35% (Size, 2019).

The mechanism of action of probiotics is that they prevent the development of pathogenic microflora, and can also synthesize biologically active substances such as vitamins, amino acids, and enzymes, modulate the immune system, while increasing digestibility and utilization of nutrients. The addition of Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus salivarius and Lactobacillus plantarum at a concentration of 107-108 CFU/g (colony forming units) reduces the incidence of diarrhea in young calves (Signorini et al., 2012). Probiotic microorganisms create a physical barrier between the intestinal epithelial cells and their contents. Some intestinal probiotics (such as Lactobacillus, Bifidobacteria, several strains of Escherichia coli, and new-generation probiotics including Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron and Akkermansia muciniphila) can support intestinal epithelial homeostasis and promote health (Liu et al., 2020). In addition, probiotic bacteria produce short-chain fatty acids, which lead to a decrease in pH (Maldonado Galdeano et al., 2019). In the intestine, short-chain fatty acids are mainly produced by bacterial fermentation of cellulose. Therefore (Liao et al., 2024) suggest that safe and effective cellulolytic bacteria may become new probiotics. Recently, microbiome studies have identified a number of putative psychobiotic strains, including SCFAs. SCFA-producing bacteria have attracted particular attention from neuroscientists. Recent studies have shown that SCFA-producing bacteria, such as Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Clostridium, have very specific functions in various psychiatric disorders, suggesting that these bacteria may be potential new psychobiotics (Cheng et al., 2022). Probiotics increase the digestibility of the feed consumed by animals; For example, a study in buffalo calves showed that probiotic feed containing Lactobacillus acidophilus can provide higher dry matter absorption and nutrient digestibility compared to a group of animals whose diets lacked probiotic (Sharma et al., 2018). In another study (Boyd et al., 2011), the use of a mixture of Lactobacillus acidophilus NP51 and Propionibacterium freudenreichii NP24 as a feed additive for dairy cows improved the digestibility of fiber and crude protein by 7.6%. Similar results were obtained in poultry with regard to increased digestibility of nutrients, essential amino acids and calcium (Khalid et al., 2021; Maas et al., 2021). Recently, probiotics have also been used in sheep farming in numerous studies; Khalid et al., (2021) reported that adding probiotics to the lamb diet improves animal growth during the suckling period. In the study Shoukry et al., (2023), adding probiotics to the main diet also improved lamb growth. Studies conducted by Indian scientists in the Nellore province indicate that adding probiotics to the ram diet improves feed utilization and average daily live weight gain of animals (Khalid et al., 2021). Feeding probiotics to Barki lambs has been shown to have a positive effect on growth, nutrient absorption, and overall economic efficiency (Darwish, 2025).

An important feature of probiotics is their immunostimulatory properties (Bilal et al., 2021; Kong et al., 2020). Recently, the mechanisms of action of probiotics on the immune system have been actively studied by various scientists (Anee et al., 2021; Kober et al., 2022). It has been proven that probiotics can affect immune functions in different ways. Thus, a number of studies have been conducted on the effect of different probiotic strains on the immunological profile of the animal body (Kulkarni et al., 2022). Probiotic supplements can promote growth and development of immune systems in ruminants by regulating the structure, abundance, and fermentation levels

of the gastrointestinal microbiota (Ban and Guan, 2021; Mao et al., 2023).

Based on the reports Escobedo-Gallegos et al., (2023) there is evidence of the positive effect of probiotics on relieving stress in animals, particularly heat stress. Thus, Kotsampasi et al. (2023) indicate that the use of probiotics (direct-feeding microorganisms) by ruminants in stressful environmental conditions alleviated heat stress and increased animal productivity. As indicated by Shah et al. (2025) the addition of a probiotic preparation to the diet of bulls contributed to the avoidance of heat stress and increased nutrient digestibility, which was positively reflected in the average daily weight gains. The mechanism of how probiotics migrate heat stress in animals can be explained by a decrease in the concentration of cortisol in the blood, which was recorded in the study by Binuni Rebez et al., (2025), where in lambs that were exposed to heat stress and did not receive a probiotic, a tendency toward lower cortisol concentration was observed.

The most promising probiotics are preparations with spore-forming bacteria of the genus Bacillus, as they are prominent representatives of exogenous microflora. A wide range of strains of this genus have been studied as therapeutic agent for the prevention and treatment of intestinal infections: B. cereus, B. polymyxa, B. coagulans, B. brevis, B. megaterium, B. pumilus, B. laterosporus etc. However, the most fully and comprehensively studied species B. subtilis and B. licheniformis. Aerobic spore-forming bacteria of the genus Bacillus are widely distributed in nature. Despite the fact that Bacillus was described more than 100 years ago, the taxonomy of the genus is still developing and improving (Bilal et al., 2021).

One of the most promising strains in the production of probiotics is Bacillus subtilis. The alternative name for B. subtilis is hay bacillus, since for the first time the cumulative culture of this organism was obtained from hay extract. In 1835, Christian Gottfried Ehrenberg first described this strain, but in his interpretation this microorganism was called Vibrio subtilis, later in 1872 B. Subtilis received its modern name (Bilal et al., 2021). Another promising culture is Bacillus Licheniformis or B. Licheniformis. It is a gram-positive, mesophilic bacterium. The principle for the action of Bacillus Licheniformis is its ability to regulate bacterial colonies, contributing to the formation of normal microflora in the body (Makowski et al., 2021). Thus, Onubi et al., (2015) probiotics containing Bacillus Licheniformis have been shown to improve the absorption of certain nutrients (calcium, zinc, and vitamin B12) and reduce the incidence of anemia, preventing infections and micronutrient deficiencies.

The new domestic probiotic Immunobacterin-D (the supplement used in this study) contains bacteria of the genus Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus licheniformis, indicating excellent potential properties in terms of impact on the animal body. Thus, according to Sidashova et al. (2022), the use of the probiotic Immunobacterin-D on bees had a positive effect, increasing the live weight of worker bees by the end of the beekeeping season by 9.15% compared to the control. This can increase the viability of bees during wintering. Also, Pogranichniy et al. (2023) reported that probiotic supplement increased average daily milk yield and milk fat content, improved cow health, and enhanced stress resistance—evidenced by a normalized AST/ALT ratio, which supports liver cell renewal. In addition, cows receiving Immunobacterin-D had a significant increase in the content of phosphorus and total bilirubin in the blood

serum. Rybachuk et al. (2020) found that adding Immunobacterin-D to German Shepherd dogs' diets normalized serum calcium levels—significantly increasing them after 14 days—and led to a marked decrease in ALT activity, indicating improved hepatocyte function likely due to reduced liver antigenic and toxic load from the symbiotic action of B. subtilis and B. licheniformis

However, based on the available literatures, no similar studies on the use of the probiotic supplement Immunobacterin-D conducted on sheep, in particular lambs during the suckling period, have not been conducted in Ukraine. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to study the impact of the use of the therapeutic and prophylactic probiotic Immunobacterin-D on the growth and development of dairy lambs of the Lacon breed and clinical indicators of their body during the suckling period.

Rules for handling animals in experiments fully comply with European legislation (Directive of the Council of the European Union No. 98/58/EC, 1998; Nalon and Stevenson, 2019). The research protocol for biochemical parameters blood of sheep was approved by the local commission on bioethics of "Ascania Nova" Institute of Animal Breeding in the Steppe Regions named after M. F. Ivanov - National Scientific Selection-Genetics Centre for Sheep Breeding, Ukraine, in accordance with Good Clinical Practice (GCP) for the protection and humane treatment of experimental animals.

A scientific experiment was conducted on dairy lambs of the Lacon breed under the conditions of the production and commercial company (PCC) "Piligrim" of the Khmelnytskyi region to assess lamb growth resistance during the suckling period using the probiotic "Immunobacterin-D" as an immunomodulator. Two groups of ewes with lambs were formed using the group-analogue method (10 ewes and 10 lambs in each).

Components of probiotic supplement

1 kg of the preparation contains active ingredients: bacteria of the genus Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus licheniformis, not less than 6×1012 CFU/kg (6×109 CFU in 1 g), xylanase 300,000 units/kg, protease 5,000 units/kg, amylase 1,000 units/kg.

Newborn lambs of the experimental group, starting at 7-10 days of age, were given the prophylactic drug Immunobacterin-D at a dose of 50 g/100 kg mixed with concentrated feed. The mixture (Immunobacterin-D + concentrated feed) was prepared as follows: 25 grams of Immunobacterin-D was thoroughly mixed with 100 g of concentrate. Then, 1 kg of concentrate was added to the mixture and mixed well. Next, concentratewas added to reach 10 kg and mixed thoroughly again. Finally, more concentrate was added to bring the total mass to 100 kg, with all components thoroughly blended. The control group did not receive the probiotic. The experiment continued until the experimental lambs reached 2 months of age.

Live weight of lambs was determined by individual weighing at the start and end of the experiment. Body structure was studied at 2 months of age during weaning. Seven main measurements were taken: height at the withers; height at the sacrum; oblique body length; chest width; chest depth; chest circumference behind the shoulder blades; and pastern circumference. For a more detailed description of the animals and their development stage, the following indices were calculated from these measurements: massiveness; compactness; chest development; stretch; boniness; longleggedness; and deep-chestedness (Zonabend König et al., 2017). Blood for analysis was collected from the jugular vein of three lambs group before morning feeding. The following indicators were determined: AsAT, AlAT, GHT, Alkaline phosphatase, Bilirubin, Creatinine, Urea, Total protein, Albumin, Glucose, Calcium, Potassium (Polizopoulou, 2010).

Lamb adaptability was assessed by measuring body temperature and breathing rate, recorded alongside weather conditions (air temperature and relative humidity). Body temperature was measured rectally with an electronic thermometer. Breathing rate was the count of chest movements per minute (inhalation acts) while the animal was calm. Pulse rate was measured by counting heartbeats per minute on an artery near the heart. Clinical and climatic parameters were recorded over two consecutive days at 6:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. (Mykytyuk et al., 2021).

The coefficient of thermal sensitivity, coefficient of thermal vulnerability and heat resistance index (HRI) in young sheep were calculated as follows. The coefficient of thermal sensitivity of the body was calculated using the formula M. V. Benezra (Mykytyuk et al., 2021).

Biometric data were processed using MS Excel software and statistical functions according to S. S Kramarenko algorithms (Kramarenko et al., 2019) with the determination of the arithmetic mean, its error, as well as the statistical reliability of the studies conducted.

Studies on the effectiveness of the probiotic Immunobacterin-D were conducted on lambs of the Lacon breed. This breed belongs to the dairy production. And is considered the best dairy sheep breed in France. The live weight of breeding rams is 80-100 kg and ewes are 50-70 kg. The animals are characterized by high precocity (up to 60% of ewes can be mated at 7-10 months of age). A feature of these sheep is early weaning of lambs; afterweaning, the ewes begin milking. The average yield of marketable milk over 150 days of lactation is 155-160 (Kudryk et al., 2024).

The therapeutic and prophylactic preparation Immunobacterin-D is a white powder that dissolves well in water. The probiotic consists of Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus licheniformis at a concentration of not less than 6×10^{12} CFU/kg (6×10^{9} CFU/g). The mechanism of action is that Bacillus bacteria inhibit the growth of opportunistic microorganisms, provide partial destruction of mycotoxins, contribute to restoration of microflora, and enhance feed digestion, thereby improving feed conversion, productivity, and animal health. It should be noted that the farm where the studies were conducted was epizootically safe regarding infectious gastrointestinal diseases.

As the results showed, use of Immunobacterin-D by the experimental group had a significant effect on live weight (Table 1). At birth, lamb live weight was 3.5 ± 0.11 kg in the control group and 3.4 ± 0.10 kg in the experimental group. At 2 months of age, control lambs weighed 16.6 ± 0.17 kg, while experimental lambs weighed 18.1 ± 0.15 kg, with average daily gains of 218 ± 2.1 g and 253 ± 4.5 g, respectively (P ≤ 0.001).

Thus, the advantage of the experimental group using Immunobacterin-D was 16.1%. Since absolute and average daily increases in body weight per unit of time do

not always objectively characterize growth rate, the relative growth rate is used, expressed as a percentage. It was found that relative growth of lambs in the control group was 374%, while in the experimental group it was 447%, an increase of73 percentage points. During the experimental period, isolated gastrointestinal disorders were noted in the control group, whereas lambs in the experimental group exhibited no such disorders. Probiotic bacteria in Immunobacterin-D colonized the intestines of newborn experimental lambs with beneficial microflora, creating a barrier against opportunistic pathogens. In addition to changes in body weight, basic body measurements were taken at 2 months of age to objectively assess animal growth.

It was found that lambs in the experimental group exceeded their peers in the control group by 0.7 cm, or 1.32% in height at the withers; by 1.1 cm, or 2.04% in height at the sacrum; by 0.8 cm, or 1.42% in oblique body length; by 1.0 cm, or 5.95% in chest width; by 1.2 cm, or 5.0% in chest depth; by 1.6 cm, or 2.09% in chest circumference behind the shoulder blades. Based on the obtained mean ANOVA or, body structure indices were calculated in the control and experimental groups. Thus, lambs in the experimental group exceeded those in the control group in the indices ofmassiveness by 1.1%; compactness by 0.9%; chest development by 0.6% and deep chest by 1.7% (P \leq 0.05). Body composition indices indicate that the animals developed proportionally in accordance with ontogenetic changes in body mass during growth.

It is known that the use of probiotics can improves blood parameters and the, antioxidant and immune systems in animals (Anee et al., 2021). Therefore, during the experiment, the aim was to perform a biochemical analysis of the blood of the experimental animals, as reflected in Table 4. It was found that lambs in the control group had a total serum protein content of 53.35 g/l, lambs in the experimental group had 61.80 g/L, a 15.8% increase. This suggests a sufficient amount of structural material to support weight gain. Globulins are of particular interest. This is a significant group of proteins of various structures with important biological functions. The level of globulin proteins influences young animal's future productivity and immune defenses. Thus, the total serum globulin concentration in the control group was 21.31 g/L, while in lambs receiving the probiotic Immunobacterin-D it reached 28.86 g/L, (a) 35.4 % increase, demonstrating improved resistance (P≤0.05). Domestic sheep exhibit high adaptive capacity to diverse climates and feeds, enabling widespread farming across Ukraine, yet even they can suffer productivity losses under heat stress, particularly with global warming. Markers of adaptive capacity in Lacon lambs treated with Immunobacterin-D, measuring body temperature, respiratory rate, and pulse under thermoneutral and heat-stress conditions.

It was found that in the morning (21 °C) lambs of the control and experimental groups had body temperature of 38.9°C and 39.0 °C, respectively. And body temperature increased: control lambs by 0.8 °C and experimental lambs by 0.7°C. Respiration is the main vital process providing gas exchange between a living organism and the environment. In our study, an increase in air temperature to 37 °C was accompanied by an increase in respiratory rate in lambs of the control and experimental groups by 27.0% and 28.6% (P \leq 0.001), compared to their morning rates at lower temperatures. Lambs that consumed the probiotic adapted better to elevated temperatures as their respiratory rates were higher than those of control lambs. Based

on physiological data, indices and coefficients characterizing physiological adaptation were calculated.

The heat resistance index, along with the heat sensitivity coefficient, is an objective indicators of animal responses elevated temperatures. Lambs in the experimental group receiving the probiotic Immunobacterin-D outperformed control lambs by 2.47%, which indicates their enhanced heat resistance. Animals that suffer less from heat stress have a higher growth rate. Regarding the heat sensitivity coefficient and the heat vulnerability coefficient no significant differences were observed between groups. These results warrant further study during both the suckling and intensive fattening phases. This will allow us to more accurately determine the role of probiotics in reducing heat stress in sheep. In addition, the economic efficiency of using the probiotic "Immunobacterin-D" in two-month-old lambs was evaluated.

It was established that the use of the therapeutic and prophylactic drug "Immunobacterin-D" on Lacon lambs during the suckling period (60 days) had a positive effect on their growth dynamics They achieved a 9.0 % increase in live weight and a 16.0 % increase in absolute gain, corresponding to an additional \$6.88 per kilogram of gain.

Prolonged antibiotics use leads to resistant bacteria and antibiotic residues in livestock and fish. Consequently, finding effective alternatives has become urgent. Currently, the most suitable alternative to antibiotics is probiotics. Probiotics are live microorganisms that, when consumed in optimal amounts, provide health benefits. They consist primarily of beneficial bacteria and yeasts that inhibit pathogens, enhance immunity, and restore gut microbial balance. Probiotics eliminate pathogens through multiple molecular mechanisms and modulate the host's immune response promote animal well-being (Anee et al., 2021).

Thus, Maldonado Galdeano et al. (2019) indicate that probiotics improve health by inhibiting harmful bacteria. For example, Lactobacillus rhamnosus and Lactobacillus plantarum can prevent the adhesion of Escherichia coli in the intestinal tract. Kawai et al. (2004) approved, that bacterias such as Lactobacillus, Leuconostoc, Pediococcus, Lactococcus, Enterococcus, Streptococcus, Bifidobacteria can produce proteins or bacteriocins that minimize the development of pathogenic microflora. In other words, these probiotics reduce the number of harmful microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tract of animals.

In addition, probiotics enhance host immunity by modulating the immune system. Consumed probiotics stimulate the mucosal immune system (MIS) and induce signaling networks. Antigen-presenting cells identify bacterial components and trigger, primary immune responses leading to T- and B-cell development. They activate immunity via cytokines secretion that stimulates T-cell activation. Signals from dendritic cells determine T-helper polarization or T-regulatory responses, which in turn shape B-cell responses against pathogens (Van Zyl et al., 2020). Probiotics also increase digestion rates by enhancing gastrointestinal enzyme activity and improving feed digestibility (Sharma et al., 2018).

Probiotics play a significant role in ruminants. Ruminantsingest large amounts of protein and carbohydrates, which rumen microbes break down. Ruminant probiotics containing Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Lactobacillus, Aspergillus oryzae, Bacillus, and Enterococcus confer substantial health benefits (Elghandour et al., 2020). They also boost dairy cattle milk yield: Bacillus subtilis, S. cerevisiae, and Enterococcus faecalis increase secretion, and Bifidobacterium bifidum suppresses milk allergies (Ma et al., 2020; Jing et al., 2021).

A significant positive effect of probiotics in sheep farming has also been established. Saleem, et al. (2016) indicate that probiotics improve the health of sheep and lambs. According to Kritas et al. (2006), probiotic feed components can improve the quality of sheep milk. Feed additives, including Bacillus subtilits and Bacillus licheniformis, help reduce mortality, increase the protein content in milk and enhance overall milk production in sheep.

The effect of probiotic substances on reducing stress in sheep, particularly heat stress, has also been established. As the climate in Ukraine has changed significantly in recent decades, researchers are increasingly recording abnormally high temperatures (Vozhegova, 2021). Therefore, according to Estrada-Angulo et al., (2021), the use of probiotics in lambs is recommended to mitigate heat stress in hot environmental conditions. Heat stress can lead to imbalances in the rumen and its function, an increased risk of acidosis, greater energy expenditure to maintain vital body functions, and reduced immunity (Marai et al., 2007). When exposed to high environmental temperatures, sheep that consume probiotics activate a series of physiological compensatory mechanisms such as increased rectal temperature, respiratory rate, and heart rate which help the body adapt to extreme conditions (Mc Manus, 2020).

It was found that the use of the probiotic Immunobacterin-D in the lactation period of Lacon dairy lambs provided an increase in average daily gains of 16.1%, an increase in total protein content of 15.8% and an increase in globulin proteins of 35.4%, indicating enhanced lamb resistance. Immunobacterin-D in lamb diets positively affected growth rate and development, improved health and adaptive capacity, and conferred greater heat resilience compared to unsupplemented lambs.

References

1. Anee IJ, Alam S, Begum RA, Shahjahan RM, and Khandaker AM (2021). The role of probiotics on animal health and nutrition. The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology, *82*, 1-16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s41936-021-00250-x</u>

2. Ban Y, and Guan LL (2021). Implication and challenges of direct-fed microbial supplementation to improve ruminant production and health. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology, 12(1):109. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00630-x

3. Bilal M, Si W, Barbe F, Chevaux E, Sienkiewicz O, and Zhao X (2021). Effects of novel probiotic strains of Bacillus pumilus and Bacillus subtilis on production, gut health, and immunity of broiler chickens raised under suboptimal

 conditions.
 Poultry
 Science,
 100(3):
 100871.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.11.048
 100(3):
 100871.

4. Binuni Rebez E, Sejian V, Silpa M, Kalaignazhal G, Kalaignazhal J, Nikhil KT, et al. (2025). Feed additives supplementation: a potential strategy to ameliorate heat stress in sheep. Annals of animal science. <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2024-0095</u>

5. Boyd J, West JW, and Bernard JK (2011). Effects of the addition of directfed microbials and glycerol to the diet of lactating dairy cows on milk yield and apparent efficiency of yield. Journal of Dairy Science, 94(9), 4616-4622. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3984

6. Cheng Y, Liu J, and Ling Z (2022). Short-chain fatty acids-producing probiotics: A novel source of psychobiotics. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 62(28):7929-7959. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1920884</u>

7. Darwish AA (2025). Effects of probiotic supplementation on clinicopathological alterations in Barki sheep with Mange. Open Veterinary Journal, 15(2): 901. <u>https://doi.org/10.5455/OVJ.2025.v15.i2.39</u>

8. Elghandour MMY, Tan ZL, Abu Hafsa SH, Adegbeye MJ, Greiner R, Ugbogu EA, et al. (2020). Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a probiotic feed additive to non and pseudo-ruminant feeding: a review. Journal of applied microbiology, 128(3): 658-674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14416</u>

9. Escobedo-Gallegos LDG, Estrada-Angulo A, Castro-Pérez BI, Urías-Estrada JD, Calderón-Garay E, Ramírez-Santiago L, et al. (2023). Essential oils combined with vitamin D3 or with probiotic as an alternative to the ionophore monensin supplemented in high-energy diets for lambs long-term finished under subtropical climate. Animals, 13(15): 2430. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13152430</u>

10. Estrada-Angulo A, Zapata-Ramírez O, Castro-Pérez BI, Urías-Estrada JD, Gaxiola-Camacho S, Angulo-Montoya C, et a (2021). The effects of single or combined supplementation of probiotics and prebiotics on growth performance, dietary energetics, carcass traits, and visceral mass in lambs finished under subtropical climate conditions. Biology, 10(11), 1137. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10111137

11. Jing W, Liu Q, and Wang W (2021). Bifidobacterium bifidum TMC3115 ameliorates milk protein allergy in by affecting gut microbiota: A randomized double-blind control trial. Journal of food biochemistry, 45(11), e13591. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.13591

12. Kawai Y, Ishii Y, Arakawa K, Uemura K, Saitoh B, Nishimura J, et al. (2004). Structural and functional differences in two cyclic bacteriocins with the same sequences produced by lactobacilli. Applied and Environment Microbiology, 70(5):2906-2911. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.5.2906-2911.2004</u>

13. Khalid AH, Ullah KS, Naveed S, Latif F, Pasha TN, Hussain I, et al. (2021). Effects of spray dried yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on growth performance and carcass characteristics, gut health, cecal microbiota profile and apparent ileal digestibility of protein, amino acids and energy in broilers. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 53: 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-021-02684-</u>5

14. Kober AH, Riaz Rajoka MS, Mehwish HM, Villena J, and Kitazawa H (2022). Immunomodulation potential of probiotics: a novel strategy for improving livestock health, immunity, and productivity. Microorganisms, 10(2):388. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10020388

15. Kong Y, Gao C, Du X, Zhao J, Li M, Shan X, et al. (2020). Effects of single or conjoint administration of lactic acid bacteria as potential probiotics on growth, immune response and disease resistance of snakehead fish (Channa argus). Fish & shellfish immunology, 102: 412-421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2020.05.003

16. Kotsampasi B, Karatzia MA, Tsiokos D, and Chadio S (2024). Nutritional strategies to alleviate stress and improve welfare in dairy ruminants. Animals, 14(17): 2573. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14172573</u>

17. Kramarenko SS, Lugovyi SI, Lykhach AV, and Kramarenko OS (2019). Analysis of biometric data in animal breeding and selection. [in Ukrainian], <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>; <u>ResearchGate</u>

18. Kritas SK, Govaris A, Christodoulopoulos G, and Burriel AR (2006). Effect of Bacillus licheniformis and Bacillus subtilis supplementation of ewe's feed on sheep milk production and young lamb mortality. Journal of Veterinary Medicine Series A, 53(4): 170-173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0442.2006.00815.x</u>

19. Kudryk NA, Tsvihun AT, Ponko LP, Nesviatypaska VYu, Yakovchuk VS and Tymofiishyn II (2024). The peculiarities of feeding Lacaune sheep under the Podillia conditions. Taurian scientific bulletin, 137: 410-420. https://doi.org/10.32782/2226-0099.2024.137.48

20. Kulkarni NA, Chethan HS, Srivastava R, and Gabbur AB (2022). Role of probiotics in ruminant nutrition as natural modulators of health and productivity of animals in tropical countries: an overview. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 54(2): 110. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-022-03112-y</u>

21. Liao Y, Wu S, Zhou G, Mei S, Yang Z, Li S, et al. (2024). Cellulolytic Bacillus cereus produces a variety of short-chain fatty acids and has potential as a probiotic. Microbiology Spectrum, 12(4):e03267-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.03267-23

22. Liu Q, Yu Z, Tian F, Zhao J, Zhang H, Zhai Q, et al. (2020). Surface components and metabolites of probiotics for regulation of intestinal epithelial barrier. Microbial Cell Factories, 19: 1-11.<u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-020-1289-4</u>

23. Ma ZZ, Cheng YY, Wang SQ, Ge JZ, Shi HP, and Kou JC (2020). Positive effects of dietary supplementation of three probiotics on milk yield, milk composition and intestinal flora in Sannan dairy goats varied in kind of probiotics. Journal of animal physiology and animal nutrition, 104(1): 44-55. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13226

24. Maas RM, Verdegem MC, Debnath S, Marchal L, and Schrama JW (2021). Effect of enzymes (phytase and xylanase), probiotics (B. amyloliquefaciens) and their combination on growth performance and nutrient utilization in Nile tilapia. Aquaculture, 533: 736226. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.736226</u>

25. Makowski K, Leszczewicz M, Broncel N, Lipińska-Zubrycka L, Głębski A, Komorowski P, et al. (2021). Isolation, biochemical characterisation and

identification of thermotolerant and cellulolytic paenibacillus lactis and bacillus licheniformis. Food Technology and Biotechnology, 59(3): 325-336. https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.59.03.21.7096

26. Maldonado Galdeano C, Cazorla SI, Lemme Dumit JM, Vélez E, and Perdigón G (2019). Beneficial effects of probiotic consumption on the immune system. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 74(2): 115-124. https://doi.org/10.1159/000496426

27. Mao H, Ji W, Yun Y, Zhang Y, Li Z, and Wang C (2023). Influence of probiotic supplementation on the growth performance, plasma variables, and ruminal bacterial community of growth-retarded lamb. Frontiers in Microbiology, 14: 1216534. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1216534</u>

28. Marai IFM, El-Darawan AA, Fadiel A, and Abdel-Hafez MAM (2007). Physiological traits as affected by heat stress in sheep—a review. Small ruminant research, 71(1-3): 1-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2006.10.003</u>

29. Mykytyuk VV, and Al-Mokdad SY (2021). Seasonal features of pulmonary gas exchange in ewes of the Dnipropetrovsk type of the Ascanian meatwool breed when bred in the Dnieper Steppe zone. Scientific Bulletin "Ascania-Nova", 14: 158-173. <u>http://dspace.dsau.dp.ua/jspui/ha</u>

30. Nalon E, and Stevenson P (2019). Protection of dairy cattle in the EU: State of play and directions for policymaking from a legal and animal advocacy perspective. Animals, 9(12): Article number 1066. <u>doi.org/10.3390/ani9121066</u>

31. Onubi OJ, Poobalan AS, Dineen B, Marais D, and McNeill G (2015). Effects of probiotics on child growth: a systematic review. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition, 34: 1-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-015-0010-4</u>

32. Pogranichniy R, Lytvynenko V, and Vergeles O (2023). Effect of the probiotic feed additive" Immunobacterin-D" on the productivity of black speckled cows during lactation. Ukrainian Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.31548/veterinary1.2023.90

33. Polizopoulou ZS (2010). Haematological tests in sheep health management. Small ruminant research, 92(1-3): 88-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2010.04.015

34. Rybachuk ZhV, Galatyuk OE, Demchuk YS, Antonyuk AA, and Solodka LO (2020). Changes in some diagnostic indicators of the functional state of the liver in dogs upon the use of fpd Imunobacterin-D. Scientific Progress & Innovations, 1: 182–188. [in Ukrainian] <u>https://doi.org/10.31210/visnyk2020.01.22</u>

35. Saleem AM, Zanouny AI, and Singer AM (2016). Growth performance, nutrients digestibility, and blood metabolites of lambs fed diets supplemented with probiotics during pre-and post-weaning period. Asian-Australasian journal of animal sciences, 30(4): 523. <u>https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.16.0691</u>

36. Shah AM, Zhao H, Nikzaad RM, Zhang C, Aziz U, Goswami N, et al. (2025). Exploration of the relationships between production performance and heat stress response by ruminant animals due to feed additives. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 33: 33208. <u>https://api.fspublishers.org/viewPaper/Paper-4982857604-2024-12-15.pdf</u>

37. Sharma AN. Kumar S, and Tyagi AK (2018). Effects of mannan-oligosaccharides and Lactobacillus acidophilus supplementation on growth performance, nutrient utilization and faecal characteristics in Murrah buffalo calves. Journal of animal physiology and animal nutrition, 102(3): 679-689. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12878

38. Shoukry MM, El-Nomeary YAAEF, Salman FM, and Shakweer WMES (2023). Improving the productive performance of growing lambs using prebiotic and probiotic as growth promoters. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 55(6): 375. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-023-03752-8</u>

39. Sidashova S, Adamchuk L, Yasko V, Kirovich N, Lisohurska D, Postoienko H, et al. (2022). The inhibitory effect of Ukrainian honey on probiotic bacteria. Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, 16: 149–160. https://doi.org/10.5219/1721

40. Signorini ML, Soto LP, Zbrun MV, Sequeira GJ, Rosmini MR, and Frizzo LS (2012). Impact of probiotic administration on the health and fecal microbiota of young calves: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of lactic acid bacteria. Research in veterinary science, 93(1): 250-258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.05.001

41. Size PM (2019). Share & Trends Analysis Report By Product (Food & Beverages, Dietary Supplements). By Ingredient (Bacteria, Yeast), By End Use, By Distribution Channel, And Segment Forecasts, 2025, 2019. <u>https://surli.cc/ppwvim</u>

42. Van Zyl WF, Deane SM, and Dicks LM (2020). Molecular insights into probiotic mechanisms of action employed against intestinal pathogenic bacteria. Gut microbes, 12(1): 1831339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2020.1831339</u>

43. Vozhegova RA (2021). Dynamics of changes in temperature regime and precipitation in Kherson region in the context of climate change. Agrarian Innovations, (5): 17-21. <u>https://doi.org/10.32848/agrar.innov.2021.5.3</u>

44. Zonabend König E, Ojango JM, Audho J, Mirkena T, Strandberg E, Okeyo AM, et al. (2017). Live weight, conformation, carcass traits and economic values of ram lambs of Red Maasai and Dorper sheep and their crosses. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 49: 121-129 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-016-1168-5</u>